NON-CRITICAL EQUIVARIANT L-VALUES OF MODULAR ABELIAN VARIETIES

FRANÇOIS BRUNAULT

Abstract. We prove an equivariant version of Beilinson's conjecture on non-critical L-values of strongly modular abelian varieties over number fields. As an application, we prove a weak version of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E,2)$ and Deninger's conjecture on $L(E,3)$ for non-CM strongly modular $\mathbf{Q}$-curves.

arXiv:1402.4495v1 [math.NT] 18 Feb 2014

The purpose of this article is to use the full strength of Beilinson's theorem on modular curves to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let A be an abelian variety defined over a Galois number field K whose Hasse-Weil L-function $L(A/K,s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2 without complex multiplication. Then for every integer $n \geqslant 2$, the weak form of Beilinson's conjecture on $L(A/K,n)$ holds.*

We in fact prove a slightly stronger result, namely an equivariant version of Beilinson's conjecture for the Chow motive $H^1(A/K)$ with coefficients in the endomorphism algebra of A, at every non-critical integer (see Corollary 34).

The abelian varieties satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are called strongly modular in [12]. Thanks to the work of Ribet and the proof of Serre's conjecture, such abelian varieties are known to be modular in the sense that they arise as a quotient of the Jacobian $J_1(N)$ of the modular curve $X_1(N)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ for some integer $N \geqslant 1$. Given the profound results of Beilinson on values of L-functions associated to modular forms [1], it is natural to investigate Beilinson's conjectures on values of L-functions for such abelian varieties. We do so using the equivariant formalism developed by Burns and Flach [4]. The modular parametrization by $X_1(N)$, which is available only for curves, is replaced by a quotient of $J_1(N)$ over a suitable abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. The main technical ingredient is to show that the aforementioned abelian varieties are cut out by Hecke operators in the motive of $J_1(N)$. In the course of doing so, we make slightly more precise a theorem of Ribet by offering a purely automorphic proof that every endomorphism of a modular abelian variety A_f which is defined over an abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ arises from the Hecke algebra (see §3.3).

Theorem 1 has the following consequence on Zagier's conjecture on $L(E,2)$, which generalizes [3, Thm 1, Cor] to the case of non-CM strongly modular $\mathbf{Q}$-curves (see [27] for the statement of Zagier's conjecture). Recall that a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve is an elliptic curve E over a number field K which is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates.

Corollary 2. *Let E be a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K,s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak form of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E/K,2)$ holds.*

Corollary 2 applies in particular to every non-CM $\mathbf{Q}$-curve which is completely defined over a quadratic field. To our knowledge, this is the first instance where Zagier's conjecture on $L(E,2)$ is proved for a non-CM elliptic curve E which is genuinely defined over a number field.

Using Goncharov's results [10], we also get the following consequence on Deninger's conjecture on $L(E,3)$ (see [7, 10] for an account of Deninger's conjecture).

Corollary 3. *Let E be a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K,s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak form of Deninger's conjecture on $L(E/K,3)$ holds.*

This work originates in an invitation at Kyoto University in October 2010. I gave a lecture on the results of [3] and Prof. Hida suggested that the same method could work for $\mathbf{Q}$-curves. I would like

Date: February 20, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G40, 11G55, 19F27.

to thank Prof. Hida for his valuable suggestion. I would also like to thank Frédéric Déglise, Gabriel Dospinescu, Vincent Pilloni for stimulating discussions on these topics.

1. The equivariant Beilinson conjecture

Notations. For any $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space V and any field F of characteristic 0, we put $V_F = V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$. For any ring R, we denote by $Z(R)$ the center of R.

1.1. **Chow motives with coefficients.** Let us review some background material on Chow motives. In view of our results, we allow Chow motives to be defined over arbitrary number fields and to have coefficients in an arbitrary subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. This setting is more convenient in order to apply Beilinson's theorem on modular curves, which really is a theorem with $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$-coefficients.

Let K be a number field, and let E be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. The category $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ of Chow motives over K with coefficients in E consists of triples (X_d, p, n) where X_d denotes a d-dimensional smooth projective K-variety, $p \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times_K X) \otimes E$ is an idempotent and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ([16, Chapter 2], [13, §4]). Morphisms between two objects $M = (X_d, p, m)$ and $N = (Y_e, q, n)$ in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ are given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}(M, N) = q \circ \big(\mathrm{CH}^{d+n-m}(X \times_K Y) \otimes E\big) \circ p.$$

Note that $\mathrm{End}(M)$ is an E-algebra, and every idempotent $e \in \mathrm{End}(M)$ has kernel and image in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$.

Let $M = (X_d, p, n) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ be a Chow motive, and let $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2d$ be an integer. We can attach to M and i the following system of realizations, which we denote by the formal notation $H^i(M)$:

- for any embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the Betti realization
$$H^i_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}(M) = p^* H^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X_\sigma(\mathbf{C}), E(n));$$
- the de Rham realization
$$H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) = p^*(H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E);$$
- for any prime ℓ, the ℓ-adic étale realization
$$H^i_{\text{ét}}(M) = p^*\big[H^i_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Z}_\ell(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} (E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell)\big].$$

These realizations are linked by the following comparison theorems. For any embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have an isomorphism of $E \otimes \mathbf{C}$-modules (Grothendieck's theorem)

$$I_\sigma : H^i_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes_{K,\sigma} \mathbf{C}, \tag{1}$$

and for any embedding $\tilde{\sigma} : \overline{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ extending σ, we have an isomorphism of $E \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell$-modules

$$I_{\ell,\tilde{\sigma}} : H^i_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i_{\text{ét}}(M).$$

We put $H^i_{\mathrm{B}}(M) = \bigoplus_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}} H^i_{\mathrm{B},\sigma}(M)$, so that the various isomorphisms (1) combine to give

$$I_\infty : H^i_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}.$$

By definition, the weight of $H^i(M)$ is $i - 2n$.

Let A be an E-algebra. We denote by $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ the category of Chow motives in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ endowed with an action of A. Its objects are pairs (M, ρ) with $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ and $\rho : A \to \mathrm{End}(M)$ is a morphism of E-algebras. Morphisms in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ are morphisms in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$ commuting with the action of A. The category $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ is additive but not abelian. If $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ then all realizations of M have natural structures of left A-modules, and the comparison isomorphisms are A-linear. If $e \in A$ is an idempotent then we may define $e(M) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(eAe)$.

Assume A is finite-dimensional and semisimple. Conjecturally, we then have an equivariant L-function $L({}_AH^i(M), s)$ ($s \in \mathbf{C}$) with values in the center $Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})$ of $A_{\mathbf{C}} := A \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$. This function is meromorphic in the sense that for every embedding σ of E into $\mathbf{C}$, the function $s \mapsto L({}_AH^i(M), s)^\sigma \in Z(A \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C})$ is meromorphic. In the case $A = E$, we recover the usual L-function $L^*(H^i(M), s)$. For any $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ and any integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we denote by $M(n) := M \otimes E(n)$ the Tate twist of M by n. Recall that

$$L({}_AH^i(M(n)), s) = L({}_AH^i(M), s + n) \qquad (s \in \mathbf{C}). \tag{2}$$

For any motive $M = (X_d, p, n)$, we denote by $M^* = (X_d, {}^t p, d-n)$ the dual motive. If A acts on M then A^{op} acts on M^*. The conjectural functional equation of the equivariant L-function relates $L({}_A H^i(M), s)$ and $L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} H^{2d-i}(M^*), 1-s)$.

Remark 1. Let X_d be a d-dimensional smooth projective K-variety. The motive $h(X)$ is defined as $(X, \Delta_X, 0)$. The standard conjectures imply that there exists a direct sum decomposition

$$h(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2d} h^i(X)$$

such that the realization functor H^i factors through the projection $h(X) \to h^i(X)$. Such a decomposition is known in the case X/K is an abelian variety [8], which is the only case we will consider in this paper. In this case, we even have canonical Chow-Künneth projectors $p_0, \dots, p_{2d} \in \mathrm{End}(h(X))$ such that $(X, p_i, 0) \cong h^i(X)$ for every $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2d$. These projectors are compatible with morphisms of abelian varieties [8, Prop 3.3].

Remark 2. Although we do not assume that $E/\mathbf{Q}$ is finite, we may in practice reduce to this case thanks to the following fact. If A is any finite-dimensional E-algebra acting on $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$, there exists a finite subextension $E_0/\mathbf{Q}$ of $E/\mathbf{Q}$, a motive $M_0 \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E_0)$ and a finite-dimensional E_0-algebra A_0 acting on M_0 such that (M, A) arises from (M_0, A_0) by extending the scalars from E_0 to E.

1.2. **Relative K-theory.** Let E be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E-algebra. The A-equivariant versions of the Beilinson conjectures are most conveniently formulated using the relative K-group $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$. Recall that $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is an abelian group generated by triples (X, f, Y) where X and Y are finitely generated A-modules and $f : X_{\mathbf{R}} \to Y_{\mathbf{R}}$ is an isomorphism of $A_{\mathbf{R}}$-modules [26, p. 215]. Note that X and Y are automatically projective since A is semisimple (however, some care is needed since $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ need not be semisimple). This group sits in an exact sequence [26, Thm 15.5]

$$K_1(A) \to K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \to K_0(A) \to K_0(A_{\mathbf{R}}). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 4. *The map $K_0(A) \to K_0(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where A is simple, in other words $A = M_n(D)$ for some division algebra D over E. Since $K_0(M_n(B))$ is canonically isomorphic to $K_0(B)$ for every ring B, it suffices to prove the injectivity of $K_0(D) \to K_0(D_{\mathbf{R}})$. Let σ be an embedding of E into $\mathbf{C}$. Since $D \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C}$ is semisimple, there exists a ring morphism $D \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C} \to M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Now the composite map

$$\mathbf{Z} \cong K_0(D) \to K_0(D_{\mathbf{R}}) \to K_0(D \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C}) \to K_0(M_n(\mathbf{C})) \cong \mathbf{Z}$$

sends 1 to n, thus is injective. □

Since A is semisimple, we have a reduced norm map $\mathrm{nr} : K_1(A) \to Z(A)^\times$ [6, §45A].

Lemma 5. *The reduced norm map* nr *is injective.*

Proof. We may assume that A is a central simple algebra over E. If E is a number field, the result is proved in [6, (45.3)]. In the general case, write $A = A_0 \otimes_{E_0} E$ where E_0 is a finite subextension of $E/\mathbf{Q}$ and A_0 is a finite-dimensional semisimple E_0-algebra. Then $A = \varinjlim_{E'} A_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'$ where E' runs through the finite subextensions of E/E_0. It follows that $K_1(A) = \varinjlim_{E'} K_1(A_0 \otimes_{E_0} E')$, and the result follows from the injectivity of the reduced norm map for $A_0 \otimes_{E_0} E'$. □

If E is a number field, then $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $A_{\mathbf{C}}$ are semisimple so we also have reduced norm maps on $K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ and $K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}})$. In the general case, writing A as a direct limit as in the proof of Lemma 5, we construct reduced norm maps $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}} : K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \to Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$ and $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{C}} : K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \to Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^\times$ which make the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{nr}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{C}}} \\ Z(A)^\times & \longrightarrow & Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times & \longrightarrow & Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^\times. \end{array} \tag{4}$$

By Lemma 5 and diagram (4), the map $K_1(A) \to K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ is injective. The exact sequence (3) thus simplifies to

$$0 \to K_1(A) \to K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \to 0. \tag{5}$$

Example 1. Let us consider the classical case, namely $A = E = \mathbf{Q}$. Then $K_1(A) = \mathbf{Q}^\times$ and $K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) = \mathbf{R}^\times$ so that $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ can be identified with $\mathbf{R}^\times/\mathbf{Q}^\times$. Moreover, using this identification, the class of (X, f, Y) in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is none other than the determinant of f with respect to bases of X and Y.

Lemma 6. *The map* $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}$ *is injective and the map* $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{C}}$ *is an isomorphism. Moreover, the left-hand square of diagram (4) is Cartesian: identifying the groups* $K_1(A)$, $K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ *and* $Z(A)^\times$ *with subgroups of* $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$, *we have*

$$Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times = Z(A)^\times \cdot K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_1(A) = Z(A)^\times \cap K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}). \tag{6}$$

Proof. Writing (4) as a direct limit of commutative diagrams, we may assume that E is a number field. We may also assume that A is a central simple algebra over E. The injectivity of $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and the bijectivity of $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{C}}$ are proved in [6, (45.3)]. Let Σ_∞ be the set of archimedean places of E. For any $v \in \Sigma_\infty$, let $A_v := A \otimes_E E_v$, so that $A_{\mathbf{R}} \cong \prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} A_v$ and $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times = (E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times = \prod_{v\in\Sigma_\infty} E_v^\times$. Let Σ be the set of places $v \in \Sigma_\infty$ such that $E_v = \mathbf{R}$ and A_v is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over the real quaternions. By [6, (45.3)], we have

$$\mathrm{nr}(K_1(A)) = \{x \in E^\times; x_v > 0 \text{ for every } v \in \Sigma\} \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}(K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})) = \{(x_v)_{v\in\Sigma_\infty}; x_v > 0 \text{ for every } v \in \Sigma\}. \tag{8}$$

In particular the image of $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}$ contains the connected component of identity in $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$. Since $E^\times$ is dense in $(E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})^\times$, the first identity of (6) follows. The second equation is an immediate consequence of (7) and (8). □

Following the terminology of [4, §4.2], the *extended boundary map* $\hat{\delta} : Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times \to K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is the unique extension of δ to $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$ which vanishes on $Z(A)^\times$ (such an extension exists and is unique by Lemma 6).

Let X, Y, Z be finitely generated A-modules, together with a short exact sequence of $A_{\mathbf{R}}$-modules

$$0 \to X_{\mathbf{R}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y_{\mathbf{R}} \xrightarrow{\beta} Z_{\mathbf{R}} \to 0.$$

Since $Z_{\mathbf{R}}$ is projective over $A_{\mathbf{R}}$, this sequence splits and the map β admits a section $s : Z_{\mathbf{R}} \to Y_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then the element $\vartheta = (X \oplus Z, \alpha \oplus s, Y) \in K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is independent of the choice of s.

1.3. **Statement of the conjecture in the region of convergence.** In this section we state an equivariant version of Beilinson's conjecture on special values of L-functions in the region of absolute convergence. This conjecture is a particular case of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture of Burns and Flach [4, §4.3]. Since we don't consider the integrality part of the conjecture in this article, the formulation becomes in fact much simpler.

Let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E-algebra. Fix a Chow motive $M = (X_d, p, 0, \rho) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ and an integer $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2d$. Whenever defined, the equivariant L-function $L({}_AH^i(M), s)$ converges absolutely in the region $\Re(s) > \frac{i}{2} + 1$, and because of the Euler product, its values at integers in this region belong to $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$.

Fix an integer $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. The conjecture on $L({}_AH^i(M), n)$ involves the *Beilinson regulator map*

$$r_{\mathrm{B}} : H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}(M, E(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \to H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)).$$

Let us briefly recall the definitions of the cohomology groups involved. The relevant motivic cohomology group is given by

$$H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, E(n)) = p^*\big[H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbf{Q}(n)) \otimes E\big].$$

where $H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, \mathbf{Q}(n))$ is defined as Quillen's K-group $K^{(n)}_{2n-i-1}(X)$. We denote by $H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}(M, E(n))$ the subspace of integral elements defined by Scholl [24].

The Deligne cohomology group can be expressed as follows. Let $c \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$ denote complex conjugation. The isomorphisms $c^* : X_\sigma(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{\overline{\sigma}}(\mathbf{C})$ together with complex conjugation on $\mathbf{Q}(n) =$

$(2\pi i)^n\mathbf{Q}$ induce an A-linear involution $c_B : H^i_{\mathrm{B}}(M(n)) \to H^i_{\mathrm{B}}(M(n))$, which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i_B(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{I_\infty} & H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} \\ {\scriptstyle c_B \otimes c}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes c} \\ H^i_B(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{I_\infty} & H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}. \end{array}$$

Let $H^i_{\mathrm{B}}(M(n))^{\pm}$ denote the subspace of $H^i_{\mathrm{B}}(M(n))$ where c_B acts by ± 1. The diagram above induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M(n)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} &\cong \big(H^i_B(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}\big) \oplus \big(H^i_B(M(n))^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}(-1)\big) \\ &\cong \big(H^i_B(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}\big) \oplus \big(H^i_B(M(n-1))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The *Deligne period map* is the canonical map

$$\alpha : H^i_B(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \to (H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)/\mathrm{Fil}^n) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}.$$

Since the motive $M(n)$ has weight $i - 2n < 0$, we have

$$I_\infty(\ker(\alpha)) \subset (\mathrm{Fil}^0 \cap \overline{\mathrm{Fil}}^0) H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M(n)) \otimes \mathbf{R} = (\mathrm{Fil}^n \cap \overline{\mathrm{Fil}}^n) H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes \mathbf{R} = 0$$

so that α is injective. The Deligne cohomology group of M is then given by the cokernel of α :

$$0 \to H^i_B(M(n))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)/\mathrm{Fil}^n) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \to H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)) \to 0. \tag{10}$$

Conjecture 7 (Beilinson)**.** *The regulator map r_{B} is an isomorphism.*

The idea is that both the domain and codomain of the regulator map carry natural A-structures, and comparing these two A-structures is enough to determine the equivariant L-value up to an element of $Z(A)^\times$. The Deligne period map and the Beilinson regulator map are $A_{\mathbf{R}}$-linear, and (10) is an exact sequence of $A_{\mathbf{R}}$-modules. Assuming Conjecture 7, the exact sequence (10) together with r_{B} yields a canonical element $\vartheta_\infty = \vartheta_\infty(M, i, n)$ in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$. We may now formulate the conjecture on the L-value as follows.

Conjecture 8 (Burns-Flach)**.** *Let $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$ be an integer. We have the following equality in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$*

$$\hat{\delta}(L({}_A H^i(M), n)) = \vartheta_\infty(M, i, n). \tag{11}$$

Remark 3. Conjecture 8 implies Beilinson's conjecture on the classical L-value $L(H^i(M), n) \in E^\times_{\mathbf{R}}$ by taking norms down to $E_{\mathbf{R}}$. Note that in the case $A = E$, we have $K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \cong E^\times_{\mathbf{R}}/E^\times$ and (11) is just a restatement of the usual conjecture.

Assuming the meromorphic continuation of the equivariant L-function, we may reformulate Conjecture 8 using L-values at integers to the left of the central point. For this we use a different A-structure in Deligne cohomology. Using (9), we may also express Deligne cohomology as

$$0 \to \mathrm{Fil}^n H^i_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \to H^i_B(M(n-1))^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \to H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)) \to 0 \tag{12}$$

where the first arrow is induced by the projection on the second factor of (9). Assuming Conjecture 7, the exact sequence (12) together with r_{B} yields a canonical element $\vartheta'_\infty = \vartheta'_\infty(M, i, n)$ in $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$.

Since A is a semisimple algebra, we have a reduced rank morphism $\mathrm{rr}_A : K_0(A) \to H^0(\mathrm{Spec}\, Z(A), \mathbf{Z})$ with values in the group of $\mathbf{Z}$-valued functions on $\mathrm{Spec}\, Z(A)$ [4, §2.6, p. 510]. For any embedding σ of E into $\mathbf{C}$, we have a canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}\, Z(A_\sigma) \to \mathrm{Spec}\, Z(A)$, from which we get a morphism $\mathrm{rr}_{A,\sigma} : K_0(A) \to H^0(\mathrm{Spec}\, Z(A_\sigma), \mathbf{Z})$.

Conjecture 9 (Burns-Flach)**.** *Let $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$ be an integer. For any embedding $\sigma : E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{s=1-n} L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} H^{2d-i}(M^*), s)^\sigma = \mathrm{rr}_{A,\sigma}\big(H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}(M, E(n))\big). \tag{13}$$

Furthermore, let $L^* \in Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$ denote the leading term of the Taylor expansion of $L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} H^{2d-i}(M^*), s)$ at $s = 1 - n$, defined componentwise. Then we have

$$\hat{\delta}(L^*) = \vartheta'_\infty(M, i, n). \tag{14}$$

Remark 4. The reduced rank in (13) depends only on the realization of M in Deligne cohomology if we assume Conjecture 7.

In general these conjectures are out of reach as we cannot prove that the motivic cohomology groups are finite-dimensional. Therefore, one often uses the following weakened conjecture.

Conjecture 10. *There exists an A-submodule W of $H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}(M, E(n))$ such that*

$$r_{\mathrm{B}}(W) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n)). \tag{15}$$

Furthermore, let $\vartheta_\infty(W)$ (resp. $\vartheta'_\infty(W)$) be the element of $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ arising from $r_{\mathrm{B}}(W)$ by means of the exact sequence (10) (resp. (12)). Then we have the equalities

$$\hat{\delta}(L({}_A H^i(M), n)) = \vartheta_\infty(W) \tag{16}$$

$$\hat{\delta}(L^*) = \vartheta'_\infty(W). \tag{17}$$

Remark 5. We may ask for a property which is stronger than (15), namely that r_{B} induces an isomorphism $W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{i+1}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, E_{\mathbf{R}}(n))$.

Finally, let us spell out the conjecture in the particular case of abelian varieties. Let B be an abelian variety defined over a number field K. Consider the motive with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients $M = H^1(B) = (B, p_1, 0)$, where p_1 the Chow-Künneth projector in degree 1. The usual L-function of B/K is given by $L(B, s) = L(H^1(B), s)$. The semisimple algebra $A = \mathrm{End}_K(B) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ acts on M, and we denote by $L({}_A B, s) = L({}_A H^1(B), s)$ the associated equivariant L-function. It converges for $\Re(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ and takes values in $Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})$. Let $B^\vee$ be the dual abelian variety of B. The Poincaré bundle on $B \times B^\vee$ induces a canonical isomorphism $M^* \cong H^1(B^\vee)(1)$ in $\mathrm{CHM}_K(A^{\mathrm{op}})$. The (conjectural) functional equation thus relates $L({}_A B, s)$ and $L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} B^\vee, 2-s)$. Let $n \geqslant 2$ be an integer. We have isomorphisms

$$H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathbf{R}(n)) = H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(B_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{R}(n)) \cong \frac{H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(B) \otimes \mathbf{R}}{H^1_B(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n))^+} \cong H^1_B(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n-1))^+.$$

Let $B \sim \prod_{i=1}^r B_i^{e_i}$ be the decomposition of B into K-simple factors up to isogeny, and let $D_i = \mathrm{End}_K(B_i) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. We have $A \cong \prod_{i=1}^r M_{e_i}(D_i)$ so that $Z(A) = \prod_{i=1}^r Z(D_i)$. The reduced rank of $H^1_B(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n-1))^+$ over A is the function $i \mapsto \dim(B_i)$. Let $L^* \in Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$ denote the leading term of the Taylor expansion of $L({}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} B^\vee, s)$ at $s = 2 - n$.

Conjecture 11. *There exists an A-submodule W of $H^2_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_K}(B, \mathbf{Q}(n))$ such that*

$$r_{\mathrm{B}}(W) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \cong H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(B_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{R}(n)). \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, let $\vartheta_\infty(W)$ be the element of $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ arising from the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_B(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n))^+ \otimes \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(B) \otimes \mathbf{R} \to r_{\mathrm{B}}(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} \to 0, \tag{19}$$

and let $\vartheta'_\infty(W)$ be the element of $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ arising from the isomorphism

$$r_{\mathrm{B}}(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1_B(B(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}(n-1))^+ \otimes \mathbf{R}. \tag{20}$$

Then we have

$$\hat{\delta}(L({}_A B, n)) = \vartheta_\infty(W) \tag{21}$$

$$\hat{\delta}(L^*) = \vartheta'_\infty(W). \tag{22}$$

1.4. **Base changes of Chow motives.** If R is any ring and G is any group acting on R by ring automorphisms, the *twisted group ring* $R\{G\}$ is the free R-module with basis G, endowed with the product

$$\Big(\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma \cdot \sigma\Big)\Big(\sum_{\tau \in G} b_\tau \cdot \tau\Big) = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in G} a_\sigma \sigma(b_\tau) \cdot \sigma\tau.$$

Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields, with Galois group G. There is a canonical base change functor $\mathrm{CHM}_K(E) \to \mathrm{CHM}_L(E)$ sending a Chow motive $M = (X, p, n)$ to $M_L = (X_L, p_L, n)$. In particular, we have a canonical morphism of E-algebras $\mathrm{End}_K(M) \to \mathrm{End}_L(M_L)$. Note that M_L is a Chow motive over L, but we may also consider it as a Chow motive over K.

Lemma 12. *For every $M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_K(M_L) \cong \mathrm{End}_L(M_L)\{G\}$.*

Proof. For any smooth projective L-variety Y, we have

$$Y \times_K Y \cong \bigsqcup_{\sigma\in G} Y \times_L Y^\sigma$$

where Y^σ/L denotes the conjugate variety. Thus we have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{CH}(Y \times_K Y) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma\in G} \mathrm{CH}(Y \times_L Y^\sigma). \tag{23}$$

Now if $Y = X_L$ is the base change of a smooth projective K-variety X, then $Y^\sigma = Y$ so that $\mathrm{CH}(Y\times_K Y)$ is the direct sum of copies of $\mathrm{CH}(Y \times_L Y)$. The ring structure can be described as follows. For any $\sigma \in G$, let $\phi_\sigma : X_L \to X_L$ denote the K-automorphism induced by σ, and let $\Gamma_\sigma = \phi_\sigma^* \subset X_L \times_K X_L$ denote the transpose of the graph of ϕ_σ. We have $\Gamma_\sigma\Gamma_\tau = \phi_\sigma^*\phi_\tau^* = (\phi_\tau\phi_\sigma)^* = \phi_{\sigma\tau}^* = \Gamma_{\sigma\tau}$, so we get a group morphism $\Gamma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_K(H(X_L))$ where $H(X_L)$ is the total motive of X_L. By (23), we get

$$\mathrm{End}_K(H(X_L)) = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in G} \mathrm{End}_L(H(X_L))\cdot\Gamma(\sigma) = \mathrm{End}_L(H(X_L))\{G\}.$$

For an arbitrary $M = (X, p, n) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(E)$, the idempotent $p_L \in \mathrm{End}_L(H(X_L)) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(H(X_L))$ commutes with the action of G, so that we get a corresponding decomposition

$$\mathrm{End}_K(M_L) = p_L \,\mathrm{End}_K(H(X_L))p_L = \big(p_L \,\mathrm{End}_L(H(X_L))p_L\big)\{G\} = \mathrm{End}_L(M_L)\{G\}.$$

□

We will also need the following lemma from non-commutative algebra.

Lemma 13. *If A is a semisimple $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra and G is a finite group acting on A by $\mathbf{Q}$-automorphisms, then $A\{G\}$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Let M be an arbitrary $A\{G\}$-module. Let us show that every submodule N of M is a direct factor. Since A is semisimple, there exists an A-linear map $p : M \to N$ such that $p(x) = x$ for all $x \in N$. Define $p' : M \to N$ by

$$p' = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\sigma\in G} \sigma p \sigma^{-1}.$$

It is easy to check that p' is A-linear and commutes with the action of G, so that p' is $A\{G\}$-linear. Moreover $p'(x) = x$ for all $x \in N$, so that N is a direct factor of M. □

Let B be an abelian variety defined over K, and let $B_L = B \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\, K} \mathrm{Spec}\, L$ be its base change to L. Let $A = \mathrm{End}_L(B_L) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the algebra of endomorphisms of B defined over L. By Lemma 12, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_K(H^1(B_L)) \cong A\{G\}$. Note that A and G commute if and only if all endomorphisms of B_L are defined over K. We may consider the equivariant L-function $L({}_{A\{G\}}B_L, s)$ and formulate a conjecture on the values $L({}_{A\{G\}}B_L, n)$, $n \geqslant 2$ as in §1.3. Note that this conjecture specializes to a conjecture on all Artin-twisted L-values $L(B\otimes\rho, n)$ for any finite-dimensional complex representation ρ of G and any integer $n \geqslant 2$.

1.5. **Functoriality.** In this section we recall functoriality results for the equivariant Beilinson conjecture. Note that all compatibility results below are studied and proved by Burns and Flach in the more general setting of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture [4]. In the following results, the « equivariant Beilinson conjecture » means any of the Conjectures 7, 8, 9, 10.

As a first step, the equivariant Beilinson conjecture is clearly compatible with taking direct sums of Chow motives. We next study the behaviour of the conjecture under change of coefficients.

Proposition 14. *Let E, E' be subfields of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $E \subset E'$. Let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E-algebra, and let $A' = A \otimes_E E'$. Let $M = (X, p, 0, \rho) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ be a Chow motive, and let $M' = M\otimes_E E' \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A')$. Let i, n be integers such that $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2\dim X$ and $n > \frac{i}{2}+1$. Then the equivariant Beilinson conjecture holds for $L({}_AH^i(M), n)$ if and only if it holds for $L({}_{A'}H^i(M'), n)$.*

Proof. The equivariant L-function of $H^i(M')$ is the image of the equivariant L-function of $H^i(M)$ under the canonical map $Z(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \to Z(A'_{\mathbf{C}})$. Moreover, the regulator map associated to (M', i, n) is obtained from the regulator map associated to (M, i, n) by tensoring with E' over E. Since the extended boundary map is functorial, we are thus reduced to show that the canonical map $\iota : K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \to$

$K_0(A', \mathbf{R})$ is injective. Writing A as a direct limit, we may assume that E is a number field and that A is a central simple algebra over E. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(A') & \longrightarrow & K_1(A'_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & K_0(A', \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{24}$$

We may identify all the K_1-groups with subgroups of $Z(A'_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$. Let $x \in K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ be in the kernel of ι, and let $z \in K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}})$ such that $\delta(z) = x$. Since $Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times \cap Z(A')^\times = Z(A)^\times$, we have $\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}(z) \in Z(A)^\times$. Looking at the conditions (7) and (8) describing the image of the reduced norm maps, we see that z comes from $K_1(A)$ and thus $x = 0$. □

Proposition 15. *Let E be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and let $\rho : A \to B$ be a morphism beween finite-dimensional semisimple E-algebras. Let $M = (X, p, 0) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(B)$ be a Chow motive, and let $\rho^* M \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ be the motive obtained by restricting the action to A. Let i, n be integers such that $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 \dim X$ and $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. Then the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_B H^i(M), n)$ implies the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_A H^i(\rho^* M), n)$. Moreover, if ρ is surjective then the converse holds.*

Proof. The map ρ induces an exact functor from the category of finitely generated B-modules to the category of finitely generated A-modules, which in turns induces maps ρ^* on K-groups. Assume the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_B H^i(M), n)$. Let ${}_B\vartheta_\infty$ be the corresponding element of $K_0(B, \mathbf{R})$, and let ${}_A\vartheta_\infty = \rho^*({}_B\vartheta_\infty)$. By Lemma 6, we have isomorphisms $K_1(A_{\mathbf{C}}) \cong Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^\times$ and $K_1(B_{\mathbf{C}}) \cong Z(B_{\mathbf{C}})^\times$. We use these to define a norm map $\rho^* : Z(B_{\mathbf{C}})^\times \to Z(A_{\mathbf{C}})^\times$. By construction of the equivariant L-function, we then have $\rho^*(L({}_B H^i(M), s)) = L({}_A H^i(\rho^* M), s)$ (see [4, Thm 4.1]). Taking invariants under $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$, we also have a map $\rho^* : Z(B_{\mathbf{R}})^\times \to Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times$, and we are left to show that ρ^* commutes with the extended boundary map, in other words that $\rho^* \circ \hat{\delta}_B = \hat{\delta}_A \circ \rho^*$. This identity is true on $K_1(B_{\mathbf{R}})$ because the boundary map is functorial, and it is true on $Z(B)^\times$ because $\rho^*(Z(B)^\times) \subset Z(A)^\times$.

Assume ρ is surjective. By the discussion above, it suffices to prove that $\rho^* : K_0(B, \mathbf{R}) \to K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$ is injective. Since A is semisimple, we must have an isomorphism $A \cong B \times B'$ such that ρ becomes the canonical projection. Then $K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \cong K_0(B, \mathbf{R}) \oplus K_0(B', \mathbf{R})$ and the result is clear. □

Proposition 16. *Let E be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and let A be a finite-dimensional semisimple E-algebra. Let e be a nonzero idempotent of A, and let $A' = eAe$. Let $M = (X, p, 0) \in \mathrm{CHM}_K(A)$ be a Chow motive, and let i, n be integers such that $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 \dim X$ and $n > \frac{i}{2} + 1$. If the equivariant Beilinson conjecture holds for $L({}_A H^i(M), n)$, then it holds for $L({}_{A'} H^i(e(M)), n)$.*

Proof. The algebra A' is semisimple (see [2, §9, Exerc. 10d, p. 162]). We have an exact functor e^* sending a finitely generated A-module V to the A'-module $V' = e(V)$. It induces maps $e^* : K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) \to K_1(A'_{\mathbf{R}})$ and $e^* : K_0(A, \mathbf{R}) \to K_0(A', \mathbf{R})$. Moreover, we have a morphism of E-algebras $e^* : Z(A) \to Z(A')$ sending x to exe. By definition of the reduced norm map, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(A_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{nr}_{\mathbf{R}}} & Z(A_{\mathbf{R}})^\times \\ \downarrow e^* & & \downarrow e^* \\ K_1(A'_{\mathbf{R}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{nr}'_{\mathbf{R}}} & Z(A'_{\mathbf{R}})^\times \end{array} \tag{25}$$

is commutative. It follows that e^* commutes with the extended boundary maps. By definition of the equivariant L-function [4, §4.1], we have $e^*(L({}_A H^i(M), s)) = L({}_{A'} H^i(e(M)), s)$. Assume the equivariant Beilinson conjecture for $L({}_A H^i(M), n)$, and let ${}_A\vartheta_\infty$ be the corresponding element of $K_0(A, \mathbf{R})$. Applying e^* to all objects appearing in the Beilinson regulator map, we see that the element of $K_0(A', \mathbf{R})$ associated to the regulator map for ${}_{A'} H^i(e(M))$ is simply $e^*({}_A\vartheta_\infty)$. Thus the equivariant conjecture for $L({}_{A'} H^i(e(M)), n)$ holds. □

2. Modular abelian varieties

In this section and §3, we fix a newform f of weight 2 on $\Gamma_1(N)$. We always assume that f doesn't have complex multiplication. Let $K_f \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of f.

Let $A_f/\mathbf{Q}$ be the modular abelian variety attached to f. It is defined as the quotient $J_1(N)/I_f J_1(N)$, where $J_1(N)$ is the Jacobian of the modular curve $X_1(N)$, and I_f is the annihilator of f in the Hecke algebra. There is a natural isomorphism $K_f \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, which shows that A_f is simple over $\mathbf{Q}$. In general, the abelian variety A_f is not absolutely simple. We first recall a standard result on the simple factors of A_f over a given extension of $\mathbf{Q}$.

Fix a subfield F of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $X = \mathrm{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ be the endomorphism algebra of $(A_f)_F$. The following theorem was proved by Ribet [21, Thm 5.1] in the case $F = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. The general case follows rather easily from this case.

Theorem 17. (a) *The center k of X is a subfield of K_f.*
(b) *The dimension of X over k is $[K_f : k]^2$.*
(c) *The abelian variety A_f is isogenous over F to the power of a simple abelian variety $B_{f,F}/F$.*
(d) *The abelian variety $B_{f,F}$ is unique up to F-isogeny. Moreover, if $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois, then $B_{f,F}$ is F-isogenous to all its $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$-conjugates.*

Proof. Since f doesn't have complex multiplication, the abelian variety $(A_f)_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ has no abelian subvariety of CM-type. This implies that K_f is its own commutant in $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (see the proof of [20, Prop. 5.2]), which proves (a). Now X is a central simple algebra over k, and K_f is a (semisimple) maximal commutative subalgebra of X, so that $[X : k] = [K_f : k]^2$ by [2, §14, N°6, Prop. 3], which proves (b). Moreover k being a field means precisely that A_f is F-isogenous to the power of a simple abelian variety over F, which proves (c). Finally (d) follows from the unicity of decomposition of $(A_f)_F$ into simple factors up to isogeny, together with the fact that A_f is defined over $\mathbf{Q}$. □

Remark 6. In the particular case where $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois and $B_{f,F}$ is an elliptic curve, Theorem 17(d) says precisely that $B_{f,F}$ is a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve completely defined over F in the terminology of [19, p. 286].

It is known that the minimal number field over which all endomorphisms of A_f are defined is an abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ [11, Prop. 2.1].

We next show that the L-function of $B_{f,F}$ can be expressed as a product of twists of L-functions of conjugates of f. Note that $B_{f,F}$ is defined only up to F-isogeny, but it makes sense to speak of its L-function.

Let V_ℓ be the Tate module of A_f with coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$. It carries an action of $G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. After choosing an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \cong \mathbf{C}$, we have a decomposition

$$\overline{V_\ell} := V_\ell \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \cong \prod_{\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}} V_{f^\sigma} \tag{26}$$

where V_{f^σ} denotes the 2-dimensional $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$-representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ associated to f^σ. This decomposition is compatible with the action of K_f, where K_f acts on V_{f^σ} through σ. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$, and let $\hat{G}$ be the group of complex-valued characters of G. We will identify elements of $\hat{G}$ with Dirichlet characters in the usual way.

Lemma 18. *Let $\sigma, \tau : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The following conditions are equivalent :*
(a) *The restrictions of V_{f^σ} and V_{f^τ} to $G_F = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/F)$ are isomorphic.*
(b) *There exists a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$ such that $f^\tau = f^\sigma \otimes \chi$.*
(c) *We have $\sigma|_k = \tau|_k$.*

If these conditions are satisfied, then the character χ in (b) is unique.

Proof. Since f doesn't have complex multiplication and the action of G_F on V_ℓ is semisimple [9, Satz 3], each V_{f^σ} is a simple $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}[G_F]$-module.

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. If $V_{f^\sigma}|_{G_F} \cong V_{f^\tau}|_{G_F}$, then there exists a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$ such that $V_{f^\tau} \cong V_{f^\sigma} \otimes \chi$ as $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-modules. Taking the traces of Frobenius elements, we get $\tau(a_p) = \sigma(a_p)\chi(p)$ for almost all primes p, which implies $f^\tau = f^\sigma \otimes \chi$.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Obvious.

$(a) \Rightarrow (c)$. By Faltings's theorem [9, Satz 4], we have

$$X \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \cong \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}[G_F]}(\overline{V_\ell}). \tag{27}$$

The field k acts on V_{f^σ} and V_{f^τ} through σ and τ respectively. If $V_{f^\sigma}|_{G_F} \cong V_{f^\tau}|_{G_F}$, then these actions should match, and this means that $\sigma|_k = \tau|_k$.

$(c) \Rightarrow (a)$. Since (a) implies (c), there are at least $[k:\mathbf{Q}]$ distinct G_F-isomorphism classes among the V_{f^σ}'s. Should there be more, then the center of $X \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$ would have dimension greater than $[k:\mathbf{Q}]$. But this center is $k \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$, which gives a contradiction.

The unicity of χ follows from the fact that f has no complex multiplication. □

Consider the equivalence relation on $\mathrm{Hom}(K_f, \mathbf{C})$ given by Lemma 18, namely $\sigma \sim \tau \Leftrightarrow \sigma|_k = \tau|_k$. Fix a system Σ of representatives of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_f, \mathbf{C})/\sim$. We have $|\Sigma| = [k:\mathbf{Q}]$.

Proposition 19. *Let $D = \mathrm{End}_F(B_{f,F}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, and let $t = [D:k]^{1/2}$ be the degree of D. We have*

$$L(B_{f,F}/F, s) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L(f^\sigma \otimes \chi, s)^t. \tag{28}$$

Proof. Write $A_f \sim_F B_{f,F}^n$, so that $X \cong M_n(D)$. By Theorem 17(b), we know that $[K_f : k] = nt$. By Lemma 18, the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}$-Tate module of $B_{f,F}$ is isomorphic as a G_F-module to $\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} V_{f^\sigma}^t$. For a given embedding $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{G_F}^{G_{\mathbf{Q}}}(V_{f^\sigma}|_{G_F}) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} V_{f^\sigma} \otimes \chi.$$

Taking L-functions of both sides, and using Artin formalism, we get

$$L(V_{f^\sigma}|_{G_F}, s) = \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L(f^\sigma \otimes \chi, s).$$

The formula for $L(B_{f,F}/F, s)$ follows. □

Conversely, we have the following result, which follows from the work of Guitart and Quer [12].

Theorem 20. *Let A be an abelian variety over a Galois number field F such that $L(A/F, s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2 without complex multiplication. Then $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is abelian, and there exist newforms $f_1, \dots, f_r$ of weight 2 without complex multiplication such that A is F-isogenous to $B_{f_1,F} \times \cdots \times B_{f_r,F}$.*

Proof. Let $B = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} A$ be the restriction of scalars of A. Let $f_1, \dots, f_r$ be newforms of weight 2 such that $L(A/F, s) = L(B/\mathbf{Q}, s) = L(f_1, s) \cdots L(f_r, s)$. By the proof of [12, Prop 2.3], the abelian variety B is $\mathbf{Q}$-isogenous to $A_{f_1}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times A_{f_r}^{n_r}$ for some integers $n_1, \dots, n_r \geqslant 1$. Let C be a F-simple factor of A. The abelian variety $D = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} C$ is a factor of B, thus is also $\mathbf{Q}$-isogenous to $A_{f_1}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times A_{f_r}^{m_r}$ for some integers $0 \leqslant m_i \leqslant n_i$. Moreover C is a F-simple factor of D_F and thus a factor of $(A_f)_F$ for some newform f. It now suffices to prove that $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is abelian, and this follows from [12, Thm 5.3]. □

The abelian varieties whose L-functions are products of L-functions of newforms of weight 2 are called *strongly modular* in [12]. By Theorem 20, every non-CM strongly modular abelian variety over a Galois number field is a $\mathbf{Q}$-variety, in the sense that it is isogenous to all its Galois conjugates. In the particular case of elliptic curves, this gives the following result.

Corollary 21. *Let E be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication over a Galois number field F such that $L(E/F, s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2. Then $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is abelian, and there exist a newform f of weight 2 without complex multiplication such that E is F-isogenous to $B_{f,F}$. In particular E is a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve completely defined over F.*

Remark 7. By the work of Quer [18, Thm 3.1], we can drop the assumption that $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois in Corollary 21.

It was predicted by Serre that the $\mathbf{Q}$-curves are precisely the elliptic curves which arise as quotients of $J_1(N)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. This is now a theorem thanks to the work of Ribet [20] and the proof of Serre's modularity conjecture (see [14, Thm 7.2]). It follows that every $\mathbf{Q}$-curve $E/\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is isogenous over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ to $B_{f,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$ for some newform f of weight 2. It seems an interesting question to determine a minimal field of definition for this isogeny in terms of the arithmetic of E. By Corollary 21, every non-CM strongly modular $\mathbf{Q}$-curve E/F is completely defined over F. The converse is not true, even if $F/\mathbf{Q}$ is abelian : see the introduction of [12] for a counterexample with $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, \sqrt{-3})$. However, if F is a quadratic field, then every non-CM $\mathbf{Q}$-curve completely defined over F is strongly modular, so that our results apply to these $\mathbf{Q}$-curves. In the general case, necessary and sufficient conditions for strong modularity in terms of splittings of 2-cocycles are worked out in [12, Thm 5.3, Thm 5.4].

3. Modular curves in the adelic setting

3.1. **Notations and standard results.** Let us recall the notations of [3, §4]. Let $\mathbf{A}_f$ be the ring of finite adèles of $\mathbf{Q}$. To any compact open subgroup K of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is associated a smooth projective modular curve $\overline{M}_K$ over $\mathbf{Q}$, whose set of complex points $\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C})$ is the compactification of the Riemann surface $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q})\backslash(\mathfrak{h}^{\pm}\times\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f))/K$. There are natural projections $\pi_{K',K}:\overline{M}_{K'}\to\overline{M}_K$ for any compact open subgroups $K'\subset K$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. For any $g\in\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, there is a canonical isomorphism $g:\overline{M}_K\xrightarrow{\cong}\overline{M}_{g^{-1}Kg}$, given at the level of complex points by $(\tau,h)\mapsto(\tau,hg)$.

The Hecke algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ is the space of functions $K\backslash\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)/K\to\mathbf{Q}$ with finite support, equipped with the convolution product [5]. We may identify $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ with its image in the $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra of finite correspondences on $\overline{M}_K$ by sending the characteristic function of KgK to the correspondence $\tilde{T}(g)=\tilde{T}(g)_K$ defined by the diagram

(29)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overline{M}_{K\cap g^{-1}Kg} & & \\ & \swarrow^{\pi} & & \searrow^{\pi'} & \\ \overline{M}_K & & \xrightarrow{\quad\tilde{T}(g)\quad} & & \overline{M}_K \end{array}$$

where $\pi=\pi_{K\cap g^{-1}Kg,K}$ and $\pi'=\pi_{gKg^{-1}\cap K,K}\circ g^{-1}$.

The space $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)$ carries a natural structure of left $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$-module, and we denote by $\mathbf{T}_K$ the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K))$. We denote by $T(g)=T(g)_K$ the canonical image of $\tilde{T}(g)$ in $\mathbf{T}_K$. Using notations of (29), we have $T(g)=\pi'_*\circ\pi^*$.

The ring $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ also acts from the left on $H^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}),\mathbf{Q})$, and this action factors through $\mathbf{T}_K$. In fact, Poincaré duality induces a perfect bilinear pairing

(30)
$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle:H^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}),\mathbf{R})^-\times\left(\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)\otimes\mathbf{R}\right)\to\mathbf{R}$$

satisfying $\langle\tilde{T}(g)\eta,\omega\rangle=\langle\eta,\tilde{T}(g^{-1})\omega\rangle$ for every $g\in\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, $\eta\in H^1(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}),\mathbf{R})^-$ and $\omega\in\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)\otimes\mathbf{R}$.

Let us define $\Omega=\varinjlim_K\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, where the direct limit is taken with respect to the pull-back maps $\pi^*_{K',K}$. This space carries a natural $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$-action, and for any K we have $\Omega^K=\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. The space Ω decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible admissible representations $\Omega(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. Let $\Pi(K)$ be the set of those representations π satisfying $\Omega(\pi)^K\neq\{0\}$. We have a direct sum decomposition

(31)
$$\Omega^1(\overline{M}_K)\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}=\bigoplus_{\pi\in\Pi(K)}\Omega(\pi)^K$$

where the $\Omega(\pi)^K$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple $\mathbf{T}_K\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$-modules [15, p. 393].

Lemma 22. *The natural map*

$$\mathbf{T}_K\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}\to\prod_{\pi\in\Pi(K)}\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}(\Omega(\pi)^K)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular $\mathbf{T}_K$ is a semisimple algebra.

Proof. The above map is injective by definition of $\mathbf{T}_K$. The surjectivity follows from Burnside's Theorem [2, §5, N°3, Cor. 1 of Prop. 4, p. 79]. The algebra $\mathbf{T}_K\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, being a product of matrix algebras over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, is semisimple. This implies that $\mathbf{T}_K$ is semisimple [2, §12, N° 7, Cor. 2 a), p. 218]. □

As a consequence of Lemma 22, note that for each $\pi\in\Pi(K)$, the center $Z(\mathbf{T}_K)$ acts on $\Omega(\pi)^K$ through a character $\theta_{\pi,K}:Z(\mathbf{T}_K)\to\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Let p be a prime number, and let ϖ_p be the element of $\mathbf{A}_f^\times$ whose component at p is equal to p, and whose other components are equal to 1. The Hecke operator $\tilde{T}(p)=\tilde{T}(p)_K\in\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ is defined as the characteristic function of the double coset $K\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_p & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}K$, and the Hecke operator $\tilde{T}(p,p)=\tilde{T}(p,p)_K\in\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$ is defined as the characteristic function of $K\begin{pmatrix}\varpi_p & 0\\ 0 & \varpi_p\end{pmatrix}$. We let $T(p)=T(p)_K$ and $T(p,p)=T(p,p)_K$ be their respective images in $\mathbf{T}_K$. If p doesn't divide the level of K, meaning that

K contains $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ (this happens for all but finitely many p), then $\tilde{T}(p)$ and $\tilde{T}(p,p)$ belong to the center of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_K$. In this case $T(p)$ and $T(p,p)$ act by scalar multiplication on each $\Omega(\pi)^K$.

3.2. **Base changes of Hecke correspondences.** In this subsection, we assume that $\det(K) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times$, which means that $\overline{M}_K$ is geometrically connected.

Let F be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$. Let U_F be the subgroup of $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times$ corresponding to F by abelian class field theory. We have an isomorphism $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times/U_F \cong G$. Let us define

$$K_F = \{g \in K : \det(g) \in U_F\}.$$

The determinant map induces an isomorphism $K/K_F \cong G$. The modular curve $\overline{M}_{K_F}$ is canonically isomorphic to the base change $\overline{M}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} F$. The group G acts on the right on $\mathrm{Spec}\, F$ and $\overline{M}_{K_F}$. This induces a left action of G on $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_{K_F})$. The action of an element $\sigma \in G$ on $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_{K_F})$ coincides with $T(g)_{K_F}$, where g is any representative of σ in K.

Let $\delta : \overline{M}_{K_F} \to \mathrm{Spec}\, F$ be the structural morphism. Let $T = (X, \alpha, \beta)$ be a finite correspondence on $\overline{M}_{K_F}$, defined by the diagram

(32)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ {\scriptstyle\alpha}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle\beta} \\ \overline{M}_{K_F} & \xrightarrow{\quad T \quad} & \overline{M}_{K_F}. \end{array}$$

There exists a unique element $\sigma \in G$ such that $\delta \circ \beta = \sigma^* \circ \delta \circ \alpha$. We say that T is *defined over* F if $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_G$, which amounts to say that $\delta \circ \alpha = \delta \circ \beta$.

Lemma 23. *Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. The correpondence $\tilde{T}(g)$ on $\overline{M}_{K_F}$ is defined over F if and only if $\det(g) \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0} \cdot U_F$.*

We denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}'_{K_F}$ the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{K_F}$ generated by those correspondences $\tilde{T}(g)_{K_F}$ which are defined over F. Let $\mathbf{T}'_{K_F}$ be the canonical image of $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}'_{K_F}$ in $\mathbf{T}_{K_F}$. The elements of $\mathbf{T}'_{K_F}$ are precisely those elements of $\mathbf{T}_{K_F}$ which are F-linear endomorphisms of $\Omega^1(\overline{M}_{K_F}) \cong \Omega^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes F$, and we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{T}_{K_F} = \mathbf{T}'_{K_F}\{G\}. \tag{33}$$

We now restrict to the case

$$K = K_1(N) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}) : g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod N \right\}.$$

The associated modular curves are $\overline{M}_{K_1(N)} = X_1(N)$ and $\overline{M}_{K_1(N)_F} = X_1(N)_F$.

Let us recall the relation between Hecke operators on $X_1(N)$ and $X_1(N)_F$. Define the base change morphism $\nu_F : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega^1(X_1(N))) \to \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega^1(X_1(N)) \otimes F)$ by $\nu_F(T) = T \otimes \mathrm{id}_F$. Fix an integer $m \geqslant 1$ such that $F \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$. For any element $\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^\times$, let σ_α denote its canonical image in G.

The following lemma was proved in [3, Lemma 13].

Lemma 24. *For any prime p not dividing Nm, we have*

$$\nu_F\big(T(p)_{K_1(N)}\big) = T(p)_{K_1(N)_F} \cdot \sigma_p \tag{34}$$

$$\nu_F\big(T(p,p)_{K_1(N)}\big) = T(p,p)_{K_1(N)_F} \cdot \sigma_p^2. \tag{35}$$

Now let f be a newform of weight 2 on $\Gamma_1(N)$. Fix an embedding $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$, and let $\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi)$ be the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ associated to the newform $f^\sigma \otimes \chi$. We have $\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi) \cong \pi(f^\sigma) \otimes (\tilde{\chi} \circ \det)$, where $\tilde{\chi} : \mathbf{A}_f^\times/\mathbf{Q}_{>0} \to \mathbf{C}^\times$ denotes the adèlization of χ, sending ϖ_p to $\chi(p)$ for every prime p not dividing m. Since $\pi(f^\sigma) \in \Pi(K_1(N))$, we have $\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi) \in \Pi(K_1(N)_F)$.

The following lemma was proved in [3, Lemma 15].

Lemma 25. *Let $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$. For any prime p not dividing Nm, the operator $T(p)_{K_1(N)_F}$ (resp. $T(p,p)_{K_1(N)_F}$) acts as $\sigma(a_p)\chi(p)$ (resp. $\chi(p)^2$) on $\Omega(\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi))^{K_1(N)_F}$.*

3.3. **Modularity of endomorphism algebras.** In this section, we show that all endomorphisms of A_f defined over abelian extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$ are modular, in the sense that they come from the Hecke algebra. This is the main technical ingredient in order to apply Beilinson's theorem on modular curves. That all endomorphisms of A_f over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ are modular was proved by Ribet [21] using a construction of Shimura [25]. It also appears in the work of González-Lario on $\mathbf{Q}$-curves [11]. Our approach is different in that we study endomorphisms defined over a given abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. Moreover, the statement and proof are completely automorphic and don't involve explicit computation of Hecke operators.

In this section, we fix a finite abelian extension F of $\mathbf{Q}$. Let $\Omega_{N,F} = \Omega^1(X_1(N)_F) \cong \Omega^1(X_1(N))_F$. In order to ease notations, let $\mathbf{T}_{N,F} = \mathbf{T}_{K_1(N)_F} \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega_{N,F})$ and $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F} = \mathbf{T}'_{K_1(N)_F} \subset \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F})$. By (33) we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{T}_{N,F} \cong \mathbf{T}'_{N,F}\{G\}$.

Lemma 26. *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{T}'_{N,F} & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{T}_{N,F} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}\{G\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega_{N,F}) \end{array} \tag{36}$$

such that for any $T \in \mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$, we have $\rho'(T)^* = T$ and for any $\sigma \in G$, we have $\rho(\sigma) = \sigma$.

Proof. The cotangent space of $J_1(N)_F$ at the origin is given by $\Omega^1(J_1(N))_F$ and can be identified canonically with $\Omega_{N,F}$. We define the map $\mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N)) \to \mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F})$ by sending an endomorphism φ of $J_1(N)_F$ to its cotangent map $\mathrm{Cot}(\varphi)$ at the origin. If $\tilde{T}$ is a finite correspondence on $X_1(N)_F$ defined over F, and T is the canonical image of $\tilde{T}$ in $\mathrm{End}_F(\Omega_{N,F})$, then by definition of the Jacobian variety, there is a unique endomorphism $\varphi(\tilde{T}) \in \mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ such that the $\mathrm{Cot}(\varphi(\tilde{T})) = T$. In particular, the restriction of the map $\tilde{T} \mapsto \varphi(\tilde{T})$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}'_{N,F}$ factors through $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$. This defines the map ρ' of (36). We define ρ by extending linearly ρ' using $\mathbf{T}_{N,F} \cong \mathbf{T}'_{N,F}\{G\}$. □

We next give a criterion for an endomorphism of $J_1(N)$ to induce an endomorphism of A_f. Let $\pi : J_1(N) \to A_f$ denote the canonical projection, and let $\pi_F : J_1(N)_F \to (A_f)_F$ be its base change to F. Let $\Omega_{f,F} = \Omega^1(A_f)_F$. We may and will identify $\Omega_{f,F}$ with its image in $\Omega_{N,F}$ by means of the canonical injection $\pi_F^* : \Omega^1(A_f)_F \to \Omega^1(J_1(N))_F$.

Lemma 27. *Let T be an element of $\mathbf{T}'_{N,F}$. Then $\rho'(T)$ induces an element of $\mathrm{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if T leaves stable $\Omega_{f,F}$.*

Proof. Since $A_f = J_1(N)/I_f J_1(N)$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Lie}(I_f J_1(N)) \to \mathrm{Lie}(J_1(N)) \to \mathrm{Lie}(A_f) \to 0.$$

Base changing to F, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Lie}(I_f J_1(N))_F \to \mathrm{Lie}(J_1(N))_F \to \mathrm{Lie}(A_f)_F \to 0.$$

The dual exact sequence is

$$0 \to \Omega_{f,F} \to \Omega_{N,F} \to \Omega^1(I_f J_1(N))_F \to 0.$$

Let $D \in \mathrm{End}_F(\mathrm{Lie}(J_1(N))_F)$ be the differential of $\rho'(T)$ at the origin. The operators T and D are dual to each other. Then $\rho'(T)$ induces an endomorphism of $(A_f)_F$ if and only if D leaves stable $\mathrm{Lie}(I_f J_1(N))_F$, which means exactly that T leaves stable $\Omega_{f,F}$. □

As a next step, we determine how A_f interacts with the Hecke algebra. Fix an embedding of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ into $\mathbf{C}$. For any $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, the differential form $\omega_{f^\sigma} = 2\pi i f^\sigma(z) dz$ defines an element of $\Omega^1(X_1(N)) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and the elements $(\omega_{f^\sigma})_{\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}}$ form a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$-basis of $\Omega^1(A_f) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. By the normal basis theorem, the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$-vector space $F \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ splits into $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$-lines $(L_\chi)_{\chi \in \hat{G}}$ such that $\sigma \in G$ acts as $\overline{\chi}(\sigma)$ on L_χ.

Proposition 28. *We have a direct sum decomposition*

$$\Omega_{f,F} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C} \\ \chi \in \hat{G}}} \omega_{f^\sigma} \cdot L_\chi \tag{37}$$

and for every $\sigma : K_f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we have $\omega_{f^\sigma} \cdot L_\chi \subset \Omega(\pi(f^\sigma \otimes \chi))$.

Proof. The decomposition (37) follows from the equality $\Omega_{f,F}\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \Omega^1(A_f)\otimes F\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $L = \omega_{f^\sigma}\cdot L_\chi$. Let p be a prime not dividing Nm. We know that $T(p)_{X_1(N)}(\omega_{f^\sigma}) = \sigma(a_p)\omega_{f^\sigma}$. It follows that $\nu_F(T(p)_{X_1(N)})$ acts as $\sigma(a_p)$ on L. Moreover σ_p acts as $\overline{\chi}(p)$ on L. By Lemma 24, we deduce that $T(p)_{X_1(N)_F}$ acts as $\sigma(a_p)\chi(p)$ on L. Similarly $T(p,p)_{X_1(N)_F}$ acts as $\chi(p)^2$ on L. The result now follows from Lemma 25 together with the multiplicity one theorems [17]. □

Proposition 29. *There exists an idempotent $e_f\in\mathbf{T}_{N,F}$ whose image is precisely $\Omega_{f,F}$.*

Proof. By Galois descent, it is sufficient to prove the existence of an idempotent $e_f\in\mathbf{T}_{N,F}\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ whose image is $\Omega_{f,F}\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. This follows from Lemma 22 and Proposition 28. □

Remark 8. Let $\iota : I_fJ_1(N)\to J_1(N)$ be the canonical inclusion and consider the dual map $\iota^\vee : J_1(N)^\vee = J_1(N)\to(I_fJ_1(N))^\vee$. Since the map $(\pi,\iota^\vee) : J_1(N)\to A_f\times(I_fJ_1(N))^\vee$ is an isogeny, there exists a canonical projector $e_f^{\mathrm{can}}\in\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(J_1(N))\otimes\mathbf{Q}$ with image A_f. It seems reasonable to hope that $\nu_F(e_f^{\mathrm{can}})\in\mathrm{End}_F(J_1(N))\otimes\mathbf{Q}$ belongs to the image of ρ' from diagram (36), but I haven't tried to prove this.

Now, let us consider the semisimple algebra $\mathbf{T}_{f,F} = e_f\mathbf{T}_{N,F}e_f$. It leaves stable $\Omega_{f,F}$, so that by Lemma 27, we have an induced map $\rho_f : \mathbf{T}_{f,F}\to\mathrm{End}_F(A_f)\otimes\mathbf{Q}\{G\}$.

Theorem 30. *Assume f doesn't have CM. Then the map $\rho_f : \mathbf{T}_{f,F}\to\mathrm{End}_F(A_f)\otimes\mathbf{Q}\{G\}$ is bijective. In particular, every endomorphism of A_f defined over F comes from the Hecke algebra.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{T}_{f,F}$ embeds in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega_{f,F})$, the map ρ_f is injective. Let us prove that ρ_f is surjective. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of newforms $f^\sigma\otimes\chi$ with $\sigma : K_f\hookrightarrow\mathbf{C}$ and $\chi\in\hat{G}$. For any $g\in\mathcal{F}$, let

$$\Omega_{f,F}[g] = (\Omega_{f,F}\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}})\cap\Omega(\pi(g)) \tag{38}$$

denote the g-eigenspace of $\Omega_{f,F}$. By Proposition 28, we have direct sum decompositions

$$\Omega_{f,F}\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{g\in\mathcal{F}}\Omega_{f,F}[g], \tag{39}$$

$$\Omega_{f,F}[g] = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma,\chi\\ f^\sigma\otimes\chi=g}}\omega_{f^\sigma}\cdot L_\chi. \tag{40}$$

By Lemma 18 and since f doesn't have CM, we have $|\mathcal{F}| = |\Sigma|\cdot|\hat{G}| = [k:\mathbf{Q}]\cdot[F:\mathbf{Q}]$, and $\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}\Omega_{f,F}[g] = [K_f:k]$ for every $g\in\mathcal{F}$, using notations from §2. By Lemma 22, the map

$$\mathbf{T}_{f,F}\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}}\to\prod_{g\in\mathcal{F}}\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}\Omega_{f,F}[g] \tag{41}$$

is bijective. It follows that the rank of ρ_f is

$$\sum_{g\in\mathcal{F}}(\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}\Omega_{f,F}[g])^2 = [k:\mathbf{Q}]\cdot[F:\mathbf{Q}]\cdot[K_f:k]^2$$

which agrees with the dimension of $\mathrm{End}_F(A_f)\otimes\mathbf{Q}\{G\}$ given by Theorem 17. □

4. Proofs of the main results

Let us first recall Beilinson's theorem on modular curves [1]. Let K be a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$. For every $\pi\in\Pi(K)$, let $L(\pi,s)$ denote the Jacquet-Langlands L-function of π, with values in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbf{C}$, and shifted by $\frac{1}{2}$ so that the functional equation corresponds to $s\leftrightarrow 2-s$. If f is a newform of weight 2 with Fourier coefficients in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\pi(f)$ is the automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ associated to f, then we have $L(\pi(f),s)^\sigma = L(f^\sigma,s)$ for every embedding $\sigma:\overline{\mathbf{Q}}\to\mathbf{C}$. The functional equation implies that the L-function $L(\pi,s)$ has a simple zero at each integer $m\leqslant 0$, with $L'(\pi,m)\in(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbf{R})^\times$. Fix an integer $n\geqslant 2$. We have an isomorphism

$$H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\overline{M}_K/\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}(n))\cong H^1_B(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}),\mathbf{R}(n-1))^+. \tag{42}$$

The Betti cohomology group decomposes with respect to the action of the Hecke algebra:

$$H^1_B(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}),\mathbf{Q}(n-1))^+\otimes\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\Pi(K)}H(\pi) \tag{43}$$

where $H(\pi)$ is the subspace cut out by the character $\theta_{\pi,K} : Z(\mathbf{T}_K)\to\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ acting on $\Omega(\pi)^K$.

Beilinson constructs a subspace $W_n\subset H^2_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{M}_K,\mathbf{Q}(n))$ with the following property.

Theorem (Beilinson, [1] Thm 1.3)**.** *Let $R = r_{\mathcal{B}}(W_n) \subset H^1_B(\overline{M}_K(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{R}(n-1))^+$. We have a direct sum decomposition $R \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} R(\pi)$ with $R(\pi) = L'(\pi, 2-n) \cdot H(\pi)$ inside $H(\pi) \otimes \mathbf{R}$.*

Remark 9. If $n \geqslant 3$ then the localization sequence in K-theory implies that $H^2_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbf{Z}}(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(n)) = H^2_{\mathcal{M}}(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(n))$. In the case $n = 2$, Schappacher and Scholl [22, Thm 1.1.2(iii)] later proved that $W_2 \subset H^2_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbf{Z}}(\overline{M}_K, \mathbf{Q}(2))$.

Let us now reformulate Beilinson's theorem using the equivariant formalism of §1. The Hecke algebra $\mathbf{T}_K$ acts on the Chow motive $H^1(\overline{M}_K)(n)$, thereby defining an element of $\mathrm{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_K)$. The following result is probably well-known to the experts, but doesn't seem to appear in the litterature.

Theorem 31 (Equivariant version of Beilinson's theorem)**.** *Conjecture 10 holds for $L({}_{\mathbf{T}_K} H^1(\overline{M}_K), n)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 14, it suffices to prove Conjecture 10 for $L({}_A M, n)$ where $M = H^1(\overline{M}_K) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $A = \mathbf{T}_K \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. We have a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(K)} M(\pi)$ in $\mathrm{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(A)$, where the structural morphism $A \to \mathrm{End}(M(\pi))$ factors through $A_\pi := \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}(\Omega(\pi)^K)$ (see Lemma 22). Moreover $L({}_{A_\pi} M(\pi), s) = L(\pi, s)$. By Proposition 15, it suffices to establish Conjecture 10 for $L({}_{A_\pi} M(\pi), n)$.

By construction, the Beilinson subspace W_n is stable under $\mathbf{T}_K$. For any $\pi \in \Pi(K)$, let $W_n(\pi)$ be the subspace of $W_n \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ cut out by the character $\theta_{\pi,K}$. We may identify $W_n(\pi)$ with a subspace of $H^2_{\mathcal{M}/\mathbf{Z}}(M(\pi), \overline{\mathbf{Q}}(n))$. Since the Beilinson regulator map is $\mathbf{T}_K$-equivariant, we have $r_{\mathcal{B}}(W_n(\pi)) = R(\pi)$. But Beilinson's theorem $R(\pi) = L'(\pi, 2-n) \cdot H(\pi)$ means precisely that the element $\vartheta_\infty(W_n(\pi))$ of $K_0(A_\pi, \mathbf{R})$ is given by $\hat{\delta}(L'(\pi, 2-n))$. □

Theorem 32. *Let f be a newform of weight 2 without complex multiplication, and let F be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. Let $X = \mathrm{End}_F(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$. For every integer $n \geqslant 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L({}_{X\{G\}} H^1(A_f/F), n)$.*

Proof. Assume $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a newform of level N. We use Theorem 31 with the subgroup $K = K_1(N)_F$ defined in 3.2, so that $\overline{M}_K = X_1(N)_F$. Let $J_1(N)_F$ be the Jacobian of $X_1(N)_F$. We have an isomorphism $H^1(X_1(N)_F) \cong H^1(J_1(N)_F)$ in $\mathrm{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_{N,F})$ (see for instance [23, Prop 4.5] applied to $X = X_1(N)_F$ and $X' = J_1(N)_F$). Let $e_f \in \mathbf{T}_{N,F}$ be the idempotent from Proposition 29, and let $\mathbf{T}_{f,F} = e_f \mathbf{T}_{N,F} e_f$. By Theorem 30, we have an isomorphism of Chow motives $e_f(H^1(J_1(N)_F)) = H^1(A_f/F)$ in $\mathrm{CHM}_{\mathbf{Q}}(X\{G\})$. The result now follows from Proposition 16. □

Theorem 33. *Let f be a newform of weight 2 without complex multiplication, and let F, F' be finite abelian extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$ such that $F \subset F'$. Let $X = \mathrm{End}_{F'}(B_{f,F}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F'/F)$. For every integer $n \geqslant 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L({}_{X\{G\}} H^1(B_{f,F}/F'), n)$.*

Proof. By definition of $B_{f,F}$, we have an isogeny $A_f \sim_F B_{f,F}^m$ for some $m \geqslant 1$, and thus an isomorphism of Chow motives $H^1(A_f/F') \cong H^1(B_{f,F}/F')^{\oplus m}$. Let $X' = \mathrm{End}_{F'}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $G' = \mathrm{Gal}(F'/\mathbf{Q})$. We have a canonical embedding $R = M_m(X\{G\}) \cong M_m(X)\{G\} \hookrightarrow X'\{G'\}$. By Theorem 32 and Proposition 15, Conjecture 10 holds for $L({}_R H^1(B_{f,F}/F')^{\oplus m}, n)$. We conclude by projecting onto $H^1(B_{f,F}/F')$ using Proposition 16. □

Putting together Theorems 20 and 33, we deduce the following result.

Corollary 34. *Let A be an abelian variety over a Galois number field K such that $L(A/K, s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2 without complex multiplication. Let $X = \mathrm{End}_K(A) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. For every integer $n \geqslant 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L({}_X A, n)$.*

In the particular case of $\mathbf{Q}$-curves, this gives the following result.

Corollary 35. *Let E be a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2. For every integer $n \geqslant 2$, Conjecture 10 holds for $L(E/K, n)$.*

This result has the following consequence on Zagier's conjecture on $L(E, 2)$ and Deninger's conjecture on $L(E, 3)$ for $\mathbf{Q}$-curves (see [3] and [10] for how to derive Corollary 36 from Corollary 35).

Corollary 36. *Let E be a $\mathbf{Q}$-curve without complex multiplication over a number field K such that $L(E/K, s)$ is a product of L-functions of newforms of weight 2. Then the weak forms of Zagier's conjecture on $L(E/K, 2)$ and Deninger's conjecture on $L(E/K, 3)$ hold.*

References

[1] Aleksandr A. Beilinson. Higher regulators of modular curves. In *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*, volume 55 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–34. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[2] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitre 8. Modules et anneaux semi-simples*. Springer, Berlin, 2012. Second revised edition of the 1958 edition [MR0098114].

[3] François Brunault. On Zagier's conjecture for base changes of elliptic curves. *Doc. Math.*, 18:395–412, 2013. `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/documenta/vol-18/16.pdf`.

[4] D. Burns and M. Flach. Tamagawa numbers for motives with (non-commutative) coefficients. *Doc. Math.*, 6:501–570, 2001. `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/documenta/vol-06/21.pdf`.

[5] P. Cartier. Representations of p-adic groups: a survey. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 111–155. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[6] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner. *Methods of representation theory. Vol. II*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1987. With applications to finite groups and orders, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[7] Christopher Deninger. Higher order operations in Deligne cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 120(2):289–315, 1995.

[8] Christopher Deninger and Jacob Murre. Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 422:201–219, 1991.

[9] G. Faltings. Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. *Invent. Math.*, 73(3):349–366, 1983.

[10] A. B. Goncharov. Deninger's conjecture of L-functions of elliptic curves at $s = 3$. *J. Math. Sci.*, 81(3):2631–2656, 1996. Algebraic geometry, 4.

[11] J. González and J.-C. Lario. $\mathbf{Q}$-curves and their Manin ideals. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(3):475–503, 2001.

[12] Xavier Guitart and Jordi Quer. Modular Abelian Varieties Over Number Fields. *Canad. J. Math.*, 66(1):170–196, 2014.

[13] Uwe Jannsen. Deligne homology, Hodge-D-conjecture, and motives. In *Beĭlinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 305–372. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[14] C. Khare. Serre's conjecture and its consequences. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 5(1):103–125, 2010.

[15] R. P. Langlands. Modular forms and ℓ-adic representations. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 361–500. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[16] Jacob P. Murre, Jan Nagel, and Chris A. M. Peters. *Lectures on the theory of pure motives*, volume 61 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.

[17] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro. Multiplicity one theorems. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 209–212. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[18] J. Quer. Fields of definition of $\mathbf{Q}$-curves. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 13(1):275–285, 2001. 21st Journées Arithmétiques (Rome, 2001).

[19] Jordi Quer. $\mathbf{Q}$-curves and abelian varieties of GL_2-type. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 81(2):285–317, 2000.

[20] K. A. Ribet. Abelian varieties over $\mathbf{Q}$ and modular forms. In *Modular curves and abelian varieties*, volume 224 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 241–261. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[21] Kenneth A. Ribet. Twists of modular forms and endomorphisms of abelian varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 253(1):43–62, 1980.

[22] N. Schappacher and A. J. Scholl. Beilinson's theorem on modular curves. In *Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 273–304. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[23] A. J. Scholl. Classical motives. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 163–187. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[24] Anthony J. Scholl. Integral elements in K-theory and products of modular curves. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 467–489. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

[25] Goro Shimura. On the factors of the jacobian variety of a modular function field. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 25:523–544, 1973.

[26] R. G. Swan. *Algebraic K-theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 76. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.

[27] Jörg Wildeshaus. On an elliptic analogue of Zagier's conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 87(2):355–407, 1997.

ÉNS Lyon, Unité de mathématiques pures et appliquées, 46 allée d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, France
E-mail address: `francois.brunault@ens-lyon.fr`